

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,469	02/28/2002	Fred S. Cannon	823.0115USU	4846
7:	590 08/09/2005		EXAM	INER
POWELL, GO	OLDSTEIN, FRAZER	& MURPHY LLP	HENDRICKSO	N, STUART L
Jason A Bernst	ein			
191 Peachtree S	Street NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
16th Floor			1754	
Atlantic, GA	30303-1736			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

05312005

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The previous Office Action is herewith remailed, and the period for response thereto reset for 3 months as of the mailing date of this letter.

Extensions of time may be obtained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-

1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754

•			
<u> </u>			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner,)	Can 6V) Group Art Unit	
-	Least of	Kia 1289	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	<u> </u>	
Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet.	oanoaur die correspondence address –	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory mault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ργ		
This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exceaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ept for formal matters, pr 935 C.D. 1 1: 453 O.G. 21	osecution as to the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	
	<u> </u>	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
1-8, 36-40			
M Claim(s) 26-29, 31-35, 41-4	Υ	is/are rejected.	
∑ Claim(s) 36		is/are objected to.	
☑ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examine	•	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	•	•	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	v under 35 11 S.C. & 110 /	a)–(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	y dildei 20 0.0.0. 9 1 19 (
	y dildei 55 0.5.0. 9 119 (
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	•		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have beer Certified copies of the priority documents have beer 	n received.	No	
	n received. n received in Application	No	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	n received. n received in Application nts have been received		
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	n received. n received in Application nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have beer Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume in this national stage application from the Internation 	n received. n received in Application nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.		
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received: 	n received. n received in Application nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.		
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received: 	n received. n received in Application ints have been received nai Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a))	

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 26-29, 31-35, 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hirahara et al 6064560.

The reference teaches active carbon. Where the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct not the examiner to show that the same process of making, see In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q 685, and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324. It is noted that no product characteristics define the claimed product.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/7/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The claims being rejected are not drawn to the properties argued; no differences have been shown. The IDS had no fee or certification, and thus was not considered. The nonelected claims should be cancelled, amended (see In re Ochiai) or petitioned.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754